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# A. COMPETITION AND SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS

## 1. COMPETITION

[ ] [ ]  Competition was appropriate and a competitive solicitation was conducted.

[ ] [ ] [ ] [ ]  A non-competitive award was made because:

[ ]

Explanation:

# B. REASONABLENESS OF PRICE DETERMINATION

## 1. PRICE / COST ANALYSIS

[ ]  Price Analysis

A price analysis was performed which consisted of the following:

[ ]  Comparison of proposed prices received in response to a solicitation in which there was adequate price competition to establish price reasonableness (see the attached bid abstract or other supporting documentation).

**Note:** For instances where only a single bid was received under a competitive solicitation, provide comparisons or reconciliations against the project independent estimate as necessary or establish price reasonableness through one of the following below:

[ ] [ ]  Comparison with previously proposed or awarded price(s) for same or similar items (validity of the comparison and reasonableness of previous price(s) explained below). \*

[ ] [ ]  Comparison with competitive published price lists or catalog prices, established market prices, published market prices for commodities, similar price indices, and discount/rebate arrangements. \*

[ ]  Comparison of proposed prices with prices obtained through market research for same or similar items. \*

[ ] [ ]  Use of parametric estimating methods or application of rough yardsticks or ratio analysis (e.g., dollars per pound, per horsepower, per unit of computer memory, per square foot, per gallon). \*

[ ] [ ]  Comparison with independent cost estimates developed by knowledgeable personnel. For all construction and A-E subcontracts, attach copy of the independent estimate.\*

[ ] [ ]  Comparison with prices offered under GSA contracts or any other favorable agreement awarded by others through competition, and the Contract Administrator does not reasonably expect to receive more favorable pricing terms through direct competition. \*

[ ] [ ]  Analysis of pricing information provided by the supplier. \*

[ ]  Cost Analysis (Applicable to Sole Source procurements over $2M)

A cost analysis was performed including a Price Analysis, Cost Realism Analysis (if for competitive cost proposals) and Weighted Guidelines Analysis, as required. \*

\* Explanation:

## 2. COST OR PRICING DATA (COPD) (If >$2M **and** none of the COPD exemptions apply.)

[ ]  Executed COPD Certification is in the file.

[ ]  COPD waived. (DOE/NNSA approval required.) A copy of the DOE/NNSA approval is in the file.

## 3. COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS (CAS) (If >$2M **and** none of the CAS exemptions apply.)

[ ]  CAS applies and all required clauses have been included in the Subcontract. DOE/NNSA will be notified within 30 days.

# C. SUBCONTRACTOR RESPONSIBILITY

## DEBARMENT REVIEW

[ ]  The Awardee was not identified as having an Active Exclusion in the System for Award Management (SAM).

# D. LABOR / EMPLOYMENT-RELATED REQUIREMENTS

## DAVIS-BACON ACT (DBA) (If for >$2K Construction Alteration or Repair Services)

## [ ]  The Subcontractor believes the lower-tier subcontract is for construction, alteration or repair services subject to the DBA and has/will incorporate the DOE/NNSA approved wage determination in to the lower-tier subcontract.

# E. SECURITY

If this Task Order involves access to classified information and/or special nuclear material, or unescorted access to Limited security areas which will require access authorization, check applicable blocks below.

## 1. FOCI

[ ]  FOCI will apply and FOCI clearance approval (Contract Security Classification Specification – CSCS) from DOE/NNSA has been obtained.

## 2. UCNI

[ ]  UCNI applies and all required clauses, articles and incorporated documents have been included in the solicitation and Subcontract.

[ ]  Subcontract file (hard copy and/or electronic) includes the UCNI Cover Sheet because an UCNI document is included in the file (i.e., separate document or included in the Proposal, SOW, etc.)

# F. CONSTRUCTION PREQUALIFICATION (Refer to “Subcontractor Prequalification Guidelines and Safety Prequalification Form”)

## PREQUALIFICATION

[ ]  Satisfies minimum prequalification requirements.

[ ]  Satisfies Participating Site prequalification and safety requirements.

# G. MISCELLANEOUS

## 1. BUY AMERICAN ACT (BAA)

[ ]  The BAA requirements do not apply because the product(s) being procured are information technology that is a commercial product, as those terms are defined in FAR 2.101.

[ ]  The BAA requirements do not apply because of the unreasonableness of the price of the domestic offers. A price evaluation is included in the file.

[ ]  The BAA requirements do not apply because the product(s) are listed in FAR 25.104.\*

[ ]  The BAA requirements do not apply as it has been determined that no known domestic end product is available that can satisfy LLNS’ requirements.\*

\* Explanation:

## 2. WSAPP TYPE 3 APPLICABILITY (*See MTA, Article 1 Incorporated Documents; attach copy for LLNS approval*)

[ ]  This Task Order involves access to classified information and/or special nuclear material, or unescorted access to Limited security areas which will require access authorization. Therefore. WSAPP Type 3 applies and a WSAPP certification or approved plan has been / will be obtained.

(NOTE: Subcontractor shall complete and submit for approval a WORKPLACE SUBSTANCE ABUSE PROGRAM PLAN (WSAPP) CERTIFICATION FOR CHAMP (WITH NO TDPs) (TYPE 1) dated 2-17-15, which shall be incorporated in the MTA and apply to all Construction Management Task Orders where a TYPE 3 WSAPP is not required by paragraph D of MTA Article “Delivery and Site Access, Badging Requirements.” When a Task Order cannot be covered by the MTA Type 1 WSAPP, the Subcontractor shall check the block above and submit this TYPE 3 for approval prior to commencement of work).

## 3. PREVENTION OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST

[ ]  The Subcontractor certifies it is not awarding a subcontract for construction to the architect-engineer firm or an affiliate that prepared the design.

[ ]  The Subcontractor certifies it shall not employ the construction subcontractor or an affiliate to inspect the Lower-tier Build Subcontractor’s work. Further, the Subcontractor confirms the working relationships of the construction subcontractor and the subcontractor inspecting its work and the authority of the inspector are clearly defined.

[ ]  The Subcontractor certifies it is not procuring services from a subcontractor-affiliate source. OR

[ ]  The Subcontractor certifies equipment, materials, supplies, or services from a Subcontractor-affiliated source are being purchased or transferred in accordance with 48 CFR 970.4402-3.

[ ]  The Subcontractor certifies, to the best of its knowledge and belief, that the lower-tier build subcontractors are not affiliated with one or more of the following companies or their known affiliates: Bechtel National, Inc.; The Regents of the University of California; BWXT Government Group, Inc.; Amentum (formerly the AECOM company); Battelle Memorial Institute; GEM Technology International Corporation; Professional Project Services, Inc. (Pro2Serve®); and Texas A&M University System.

 The Subcontractor further certifies, to the best of its knowledge and belief, that the lower-tier build subcontractors are not affiliated with one or more of the following companies or their known affiliates: Triad National Security, LLC.; Mission Support and Test Services (MSTS), LLC; Consolidated Nuclear Security, LLC; National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia (NTESS), LLC; and Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC. The term “affiliate” shall have the meaning as defined at FAR. 2.101.

# I. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION ISSUES

Describe below or reference and attach a supplemental memo.

Prepared By:

CHAMP Subcontractor Authority Date